REMARKS

Claims 1-17 were rejected. Claims 1,2, 4-8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, and 17 are presently pending. Claims 3, 9, 12, and 15 are cancelled without prejudice. Claims 1, 7, 13, and 17 are amended.

Examiner objected to the drawings as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) "because theyy include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: Video Playback Processor 400 and SPDIF Generator 470 in Figure 4." Assignee has amended the specification. In view of the amendments to the specification, it is respectfully submitted that the drawings are now in compliance.

Claims 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious from the combination of King in further view of Wallace. Claims 1 is amended to include, among other limitations, "creating a graphic, said graphic displaying the least one parameter, wherein the at least one parameter comprises a decoding time information and a presentation time information, and wherein the decoding time information and the presentation time information are different".

Assignee respectfully submits that neither King or Wallace teach or fairly suggest the foregoing limitation. Although Examiner notes that "King et al discloses that such video frames are indexed by frame number and uses the example, QuickTime having its index as a video time parameter" (Office Action at p. 4), Assignee respectfully submits that the foregoing does not teach "creating a graphic, said graphic displaying the least one parameter, wherein the at least one parameter comprises a decoding time information and a presentation time information, and wherein the decoding time information and the presentation time information are different".

Accordingly, Examiner is requested to withdraw the rejection to claim 1, and dependent claims 2, and 4-6. Independent claims 7 and 13 are similarly amended and Examiner is requested to withdraw the rejection to these claims, and dependent claims 8, 10, 11, 14, 16, and 17.

Claim 15 and 17 were objected to because of informalities. Claim 15 is cancelled without prejudice. Claim 17 is amended to depend on claim 13. Claim 12 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112. Claim 12 is cancelled without prejudice.

For the foregoing reasons, each of the pending claims are allowable, making the application in a condition for allowance. Assignee respectfully requests that Examiner pass this case to issuance.

Additionally, it is believed that this correspondence is accompanied with the appropriate monies (either by check or authorization to charge deposit account) for the actions requested herein. To the extent that it is not, Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge or credit overpayment to account 13-0017, of any additional fees for the actions requested herein.

January 17, 2006

Mirut Dalal

Registration No. 44,052

MCANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY, LTD. 500 West Madison – Suite 3400 Chicago, IL 60661

Voice (312) 775-8000 FAX (312) 775-8100